

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/656,861	09/06/2003	Lionel M. Nelson	9473.18020-FOR	8567
58633	7590 03/14/2006		EXAM	INER
GABRIELA TOMESCU			LACYK, JOHN P	
c/o RYAN KROMHOLZ & MANION, S.C. P.O. BOX 26618 MILWAUKEE, WI 53226-0618		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		3735		

DATE MAILED: 03/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		<b>X</b> T			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/656,861	NELSON ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	John P. Lacyk	3735			
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a relif NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for early within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the main the period for reply will be office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timely.  THS from the mailing date of this communication.  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-39 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrest is/are allowed.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 1-10,13-20,25,26 and 30 is/are rejection is/are rejection and solution is considered to by the Examination of the drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the solution is above.	rawn from consideration.  cted. cobjected to. l/or election requirement.  ner. ccepted or b) objected to be				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	•	· · · · · · · ·			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prapplication from the International Bure</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a line</li> </ul>	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apiority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)  1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/01/04,12/03/04.	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			

Application/Control Number: 10/656,861 Page 2

Art Unit: 3735

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that

form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United

States.

2. Claims 1-10, 13-20, 26, 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being

anticipated by Freedman.

Freedman discloses an implant system having a ferromagnetic material implanted into

throat area of the person and a source of magnetic force that can be implanted to

interact with the ferromagnetic material to keep the passageways open by using either

repelling forces or attracting forces between the magnetic devices. The implant are

sized and configured such that they are capable of being implanted anywhere in the

user's throat.

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the

invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains.

Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over

Freedman.

Freedman, as discussed above, discloses the claimed device except for the use of an

array of magnets. It is well known in the art to duplicate parts of a known device and

further would have been obvious since different people have different size throats

Application/Control Number: 10/656,861 Page 3

Art Unit: 3735

and/or openings and have varying sizes or areas of closing. Therefore for a large person with a large throat more than one magnet may be needed to provide a sufficient force to keep the airway open. Therefore to provide any number of magnets in any arrangement or order (array), which would be needed to properly keep the airway open would have been obvious.

- 5. Claims 11-12,21-24,27-29, 31-39 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Sohn et al, Conrad et al (6,401,717 and 2005/0092332) are cited to further show the state of the art.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John P. Lacyk whose telephone number is 571-272-4728. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max Hindenburg can be reached on 571-272-4726. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/656,861 Page 4

Art Unit: 3735

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

John P Lacyk
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3736

J.P. Lacyk